

**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street, MS 8-200, Sacramento, CA 95814



December 22, 1997

**COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 97/98-34**

**TO: COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS  
COUNTY FISCAL OFFICERS  
COUNTY PROBATION OFFICERS  
INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM COORDINATORS**

**SUBJECT: REVISED INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM (ILP) ALLOCATION**

The purpose of this CFL is to provide the counties with a revised ILP allocation to use for the period of October 1, 1997 to September 30, 1998. The revision of the ILP allocation was discussed with the County Welfare Directors Association. The total statewide amount of \$11,300,292 (\$11,500,292 less \$200,000 adjustment for the Los Angeles County contract with California Youth Connection) has not changed. However, there are allocation shifts between the individual counties.

The previous ILP allocation (CFL No. 97/98-22 dated September 30, 1997) was based on Foster Care Information System caseload which for some counties also included Child Welfare System/Case Management System (CWS/CMS) caseload information in error. As stated in CFL No. 97/98-22, consistent with the policy established in CFL No. 94/95-44, counties can utilize all funds provided in this revised allocation without match at the local level. However, counties are encouraged to continue the use of any funds previously contributed as in-kind match to expand available services to eligible youth. Each county's revised ILP allocation was calculated based upon their proportionate share of the total Foster Care cases, age 16 and over, multiplied by the \$11,300,292 in available funding. A minimum allocation of \$3,500 was established to ensure an adequate level of funding for smaller counties. Consistent with prior policy, the administrative costs of ILP are limited to 20 percent of the allocation as reflected in the attachment. ILP services costs should be claimed to Program Code 184 and ILP administrative costs to Program Code 182. Control of the 20 percent administrative expenditures will occur during the close-out process.

If the county exceeds its 100 percent Federal fund allocation prior to the closeout process, a close-end shift will be applied on the DFA 327.5A, using State Use Only Codes (SUOC) 535 (ILP-Basic 100 percent 182, 194), consistent with guidelines set forth in CFL NO. 92/93-12, dated September 21, 1992. During the closeout process all SUOC 535 shifts will be adjusted subject to the appropriate 20 percent Administrative and 80 percent Services allocation controls. Costs claimed in excess of either allocation will be shifted on the DFA 327.5A using SUOC 525 (ILP-Administrative 182) and/or 524 (ILP-Services) as required.

We apologize for the inconvenience that the error may have caused the counties.

Questions regarding this revised allocation should be directed to the County Cost Analysis Bureau at (916) 657-3806. Other questions related to the ILP should be addressed to the Foster Care Policy Bureau at (916) 445-0813.



Douglas D. Park, Chief  
Financial Planning Branch

Attachment

c: CWDA

**INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM ALLOCATION  
OCTOBER 1997 - SEPTEMBER 1998**

Counties	Total Allocation	Administration Only Code 182 20%	Services Only Code 184 80%
Alameda	\$488,542	\$97,708	\$390,833
Alpine	\$4,539	\$908	\$3,631
Amador	\$12,483	\$2,497	\$9,986
Butte	\$111,780	\$22,356	\$89,424
Calaveras	\$10,781	\$2,156	\$8,625
Colusa	\$5,261	\$1,052	\$4,209
Contra Costa	\$272,925	\$54,585	\$218,340
Del Norte	\$11,691	\$2,338	\$9,353
El Dorado	\$39,151	\$7,830	\$31,321
Fresno	\$321,722	\$64,344	\$257,378
Glenn	\$14,753	\$2,951	\$11,802
Humboldt	\$46,766	\$9,353	\$37,413
Imperial	\$40,335	\$8,067	\$32,268
Inyo	\$4,539	\$908	\$3,631
Kern	\$174,763	\$34,953	\$139,810
Kings	\$43,123	\$8,625	\$34,499
Lake	\$14,185	\$2,837	\$11,348
Lassen	\$19,875	\$3,975	\$15,900
Los Angeles	\$4,511,073	\$862,215 \1	\$3,648,858
Madera	\$40,286	\$8,057	\$32,229
Marin	\$38,017	\$7,603	\$30,413
Mariposa	\$8,511	\$1,702	\$6,809
Mendocino	\$26,668	\$5,334	\$21,335
Merced	\$76,033	\$15,207	\$60,827
Modoc	\$3,500	\$700	\$2,800
Mono	\$3,500	\$700	\$2,800
Monterey	\$93,056	\$18,611	\$74,444
Napa	\$36,314	\$7,263	\$29,051
Nevada	\$17,022	\$3,404	\$13,618
Orange	\$386,407	\$77,281	\$309,126
Placer	\$72,061	\$14,412	\$57,649
Plumas	\$9,079	\$1,816	\$7,263
Riverside	\$552,659	\$110,532	\$442,127
Sacramento	\$459,604	\$91,921	\$367,683
San Benito	\$14,753	\$2,951	\$11,802
San Bernardino	\$590,676	\$118,135	\$472,541
San Diego	\$680,894	\$136,179	\$544,715
San Francisco	\$380,733	\$76,147	\$304,587
San Joaquin	\$211,077	\$42,215	\$168,862
San Luis Obispo	\$76,601	\$15,320	\$61,280
San Mateo	\$135,044	\$27,009	\$108,035
Santa Barbara	\$62,415	\$12,483	\$49,932
Santa Clara	\$365,413	\$73,083	\$292,331
Santa Cruz	\$70,926	\$14,185	\$56,741
Shasta	\$64,118	\$12,824	\$51,294
Sierra	\$3,500	\$700	\$2,800
Siskiyou	\$29,505	\$5,901	\$23,604
Solano	\$139,583	\$27,917	\$111,667
Sonoma	\$73,071	\$14,614	\$58,457
Stanislaus	\$112,915	\$22,583	\$90,332
Sutter	\$39,166	\$7,833	\$31,333
Tehama	\$33,477	\$6,695	\$26,782
Trinity	\$7,376	\$1,475	\$5,901
Tulare	\$207,105	\$41,421	\$165,684
Tuolumne	\$15,320	\$3,064	\$12,256
Ventura	\$123,696	\$24,739	\$98,957
Yolo	\$48,230	\$9,646	\$38,584
Yuba	\$43,691	\$8,738	\$34,953
Total	\$11,500,292	\$2,260,058	\$9,240,234

\1 Administration cost based on total allocation funds minus \$200,000 (California Youth Connection fund to be expended for services only) times 20 percent.